

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/715,096	MA, OLIVER JOEN-AN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Khanh H. Le	2875	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Khanh H. Le.

(3) Edward A. Schlatter.

(2) Renee Luebke.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 September, 2005.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: actual model of device

Claim(s) discussed: 24, 35, 36

Identification of prior art discussed: that cited

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: below.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

- discussed features of the invention
- proposals overcame 102 rej. of cl. 24, but combination with lighting in housing is seen to have been obvious in the opinion of the ex.
- discussed possible approaches to patentability

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required